

CONTRACT NUMBER: CON26-0063
CONTRACT TITLE: VETERANS MEMORIAL PARK EXPANSION
ADDENDUM NUMBER.: 3
ADDENDUM ISSUE DATE: 2026-APRIL-04

Proponents are advised that Addendum No. **3** to *Contract CON26-0063* is hereby issued by the *Owner*. This addendum shall form part of the *Contract Documents* and is to be read, interpreted and coordinated with all other parts. The following revisions supersede the information contained in the original *Contract Documents* and preceding addendums. The cost of all *Work* herein shall be included in the Unit Prices tendered in the *Schedule of Quantities and Prices*. Bidders are to reference receipt of Addendum #3 in their submission.

ADDITIONS, REVISIONS, AND CLARIFICATIONS

1. An updated Schedule of Quantities has been provided alongside Addendum #3 per the below **QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS** and **SCHEDULE OF QUANTITIES AND PRICES:**
 - a. 01_260402_34472_VMPark_SOQ_CON26-0063_R4_Addendum3

Clarification Note: All outstanding questions not included below have been documented and are under review. All remaining questions will be answered in the following and final addendum.

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS:

Q71: Paver substructure: instead of sand we are requesting to use ¼" CC to increase permeability?

A71: Pavers to be installed per manufacturer's specifications and recommendations.

Q72: Item 15: 19mm- for slot paving – is this gravel associated with the new parking stalls and parking lot under HMA only?

A72: Item 15 – Crushed Granular Base (CGB) is intended to cover granular base for all asphalt (HMA) areas shown on the Contract Drawings, including roadway, parking lot, parking stalls, and asphalt tie-ins, excluding areas identified for driveway chip under Item 15.1.

For clarity, the description of Item 15 has been revised in the Schedule of Quantities to reflect this intent. See section **SCHEDULE OF QUANTITIES AND PRICES: item 2** for more said revision.

Q73: Are we allowed to process the trees through a chipper on site and spread the wood chips around the remaining trees?

A73: No. Mulch needs to be high organic low wood content around the remaining trees.

Q74: We understand that the contractor is expected to provide an EPP and address ESC mitigations for this project. There is no mention of an environmental monitoring (EM) requirement for this project in the tender documents.

Does City of Langford have any stipulations regarding an EM requirement such as a minimum environmental monitoring and/or reporting frequency (biweekly, monthly, etc.)?

A74: The Contractor is responsible for implementing environmental protection measures, including erosion and sediment control, and for ensuring compliance with all applicable regulations and permits, as outlined in the Contract Documents.

For clarity, Temporary Erosion and Sediment Control is included as a bid item under Line Item 2.0.A, as added in Addendum #2.

The level and frequency of monitoring shall be determined by the Contractor as required to meet these obligations and any applicable regulatory requirements (no stipulations or requirements from the City of Langford).

Q75: Item 14.0 notes 75mm pit run, which is difficult and costly to source locally. Would a locally sourced 75mm road base be an acceptable alternate?

A75: Item 14.0 – 75 mm Minus Pit Run Gravel may be substituted with any available 75 mm minus granular material that meets MMCD requirements for granular subbase.

This includes, but is not limited to, 75 mm pit run gravel or 75 mm minus crushed granular material.

Q76: On the SoQ for items 3.0 – 3.5m it states "Including granular Base", however in the supplementary spec it states to include granular base and subbase. Please confirm if both base and subbase for these items are to be included.

A76: As per Supplementary Specifications section **03 30 20, item 1.4.3S and 1.4.5S** the price for these items should include **both granular base and subbase**.

Q77: On drawing C-06, details Section A-A does not show subbase but Section B-B does. Can you confirm the substructure for each sections?

A77: Subbase should be **200mm OF 80mm MINUS GRANULAR SUBBASE COMPACTED TO 95% MODIFIED PROCTOR DENSITY** for both sections.

Q78: Also noticed that the sidewalk in Section A-A is 150mm reinforced however Section B-B shows 100mm unreinforced. Please confirm which one is to be used for concrete sidewalk? And whether the substructure is to be 100mm base only or with 200mm subbase.

A78: Sidewalk in Section A-A is at the location of driveway access therefore vehicles will be driving over this section of sidewalk. For these locations, sidewalk is to be 150mm reinforced as shown in Section A-A.

Sidewalk in Section B-B is not at location of driveway access therefore will not be driven over and is to be 100mm as shown in Section B-B. Subbase is 200mm as shown in Section B-B (in addition, see answer to previous question).

Q79: From Addendum 1, it is noted that the furniture pads are to be included in the Concrete Walks item (#3.4), can you confirm the substructure to be 100mm base only or with 200mm subbase.

A79: 100mm depth base course is sufficient.

Q80: Drawing No. 05 (Roadworks) shows a thermoplastic zebra crosswalk, but it specifies “with additional war memorial styling, stencils to be provided by City of Langford”. The detail on sheet 1 shows a typical zebra crosswalk layout, but nothing about memorial styling? Can you clarify how we should price this?

A80: Please price this item as a standard zebra crossing. We will issue a change order for time and materials related to the specialized paint and addition of the veterans’ stencil when finalized.

Q81: Receptacles CCT 15 and 17 are noted as tree receptacles but refer to elevation D which is a decorative streetlight. Can you confirm if this is a mistake and should be elevation A? The SOQ reflects that they would be elevation A.

A81: Correct, these callouts should refer to Elevation A and are intended to be tree receptacles.

Q82: Has there been a BC Hydro design? It appears they are calling for a dip service on the drawings but would like to clarify this is the case and with a 600A service we will require parallel 500MCM aluminum runs.

A82: As previously noted, the Hydro design is not expected to be complete until after the tender closes. Items relating to the BC Hydro design shall be added after the tender process, once the Hydro design drawings are available.

The service conductors will be BC Hydro infrastructure and therefore will be detailed on the BC Hydro design drawings and specified in accordance with Hydro standards.

Q83: Will any work require traffic control on Veteran's Memorial Parkway? The tender document say a Category 1 TMP, however, a category 1 TMP would not meet the MOTT standard. In addition, MOTT may not allow day work due to how busy this corridor is.

A83: See answer A1 in Addendum #1 (No works have been identified thus far that will require traffic control or lane closures on Veterans Memorial Parkway.).

Q84: Looking at the schedule of quantities we have: 17.0 - Unit Paving - Belgard Classic Standard - 60mm thick 17.1 - Unit Paving - Belgard Classic Standard - 80mm thick. These correspond with "B" - Pavers Type 1 and "C" - Pavers Type 2 on the Materials Plan respectively. There seems to be nothing for "D" - Pavers Type 3 on the schedule of quantities and I can't find any notes which say to disregard these. Are you able to confirm if another line item should be added to the Schedule of Quantities for the following? 17.2 - Unit Paving - Belgard Legend Pavers

A84: Paver Type 3 is 60mm deep and is to be installed on a pedestrian-rated assembly. Hence, quantity is included in total under item 18.0 in SOQ. Refer to detail 06/L3.2 provided in Addendum 2 for clarification of the buildup. Per Addendum 2, site-salvaged pavers are now to be used for all Paver Type 3 areas.

Q85: Could you provide a tree protection plan and the type of trees being removed?

A85: As indicated in General Notes on drawing L1.0, the contractor is to provide a tree management plan prepared by a certified arborist prior to construction work commencing.

Q86: In A27 of Addendum 2, it was mentioned that line item 19.9 is to be added to SoQ but not reflected in SoQ-R2, please confirm that this is to be added and posted.

A86: Added with this addendum.

Q87: In Addendum 1 it was mentioned that Item 21 Boulevard Topsoil unit of measurement is to be updated to m2, this has not been reflected in SoQ-R2. Please confirm that this is to be updated.

A87: Corrected with this addendum.

SCHEDULE OF QUANTITIES AND PRICES:

A revised Schedule of Quantities is provided alongside Addendum #3. These pages replace the corresponding Schedules of Quantities and Prices in the original NRFP package and are marked “**Addendum #3**” in the right corner of the header. All changes are identified in **RED** and those addressed in this addenda are summarized below:

1. **Revision:**
 - a. Description of **item 14.0** updated to: **75 mm Minus Granular Subbase (Pit Run or Crushed, MMCD Compliant)**.
2. **Revision:**
 - a. MMCD Ref. of **item 15.0 and 15.1** revised to: **1.4.1S**.
3. **Addition:**
 - a. Line **item 19.0: Salvage, Reinstallation and Relocation of Existing Park Elements, lump sum**.
4. **Revision:**
 - a. **Items 21.0:** Boulevard Topsoil (150mm) quantity and unit of measurement updated to **62m²**.