CONTRACT NUMBER: CON26-0191
CONTRACT TITLE: DELEM DRIVE SANITARY PUMPSTATION AND INTERSECTION UPGRADE
ADDENDUM NUMBER.: 3
ADDENDUM ISSUE DATE: 2026-NOVEMBER-16

Proponents are advised that Addendum No. **3** to *Contract* **CON26-0191** is hereby issued by the *Owner*. This addendum shall form part of the *Contract Documents* and is to be read, interpreted and coordinated with all other parts. The following revisions supersede the information contained in the original *Contract Documents* and preceding addendums. The cost of all *Work* herein shall be included in the Unit Prices tendered in the *Schedule of Quantities and Prices*. Bidders are to reference receipt of Addendum #3 in their submission.

ADDITIONS, REVISIONS, AND CLARIFICATIONS

1. The lock block wall was added to the MoTT Submissions in late summer 2026 and has not had a formal geotechnical submission. Please price the lock block wall; contractors are encouraged to submit alternates as part of their proposal.

   The wall shop drawings will be submitted to MoTT for approval. The consultant team will make the submission by implementing the signed and sealed shop drawings as part of the roadworks set.

2. The Transit Shelter requires relocation to the new stop. This is for information, has not been added to the schedule of quantities and pricing, and will be negotiated with the successful proponent.

Supplementary General Conditions

3. GC 28.1 Wage is deleted by this addendum.

Supplementary Specifications

4. Add payment item to 03 30 20S for Tactile Warning Surface Indications as follows:

1.4	**Measurement and payment**	.10S	***(Add clause 1.4.10S as follows)***
			Payment for Tactile Warning Surface Indicators (TWSI's) will be made at the unit price shown on the Tender Form. The price will include all materials, pre-marking, preparation, application and all work incidental thereto.

5. Add the following clause to 03 40 01 paragraph 1.4.2

1.4	**Measurement and payment**	.3S	***(Add clause 1.4.3S as follows)***
			Shop drawings for lock block wall are to be will be signed and sealed by a Professional Engineer, registered in British Columbia prior to submission to the Contract Administrator. Wall design is to meet Canadian Highway Bridge Design Code (CSA S6), BC Supplement to CHBDC and BC MoTT Technical Circular T-04/17 Geotechnical Design Criteria.

Schedule of Quantities and Prices

6. Replace the Envelope B – Pricing Appendix 1 Schedule of Quantities and Prices in entirety with the one attached to this addendum with the header "**ADDENDUM #3**" (8 pages). Edits from the original Schedule will be noted in "**RED**" throughout the document and will be described below.

Site 1: Delem Drive Pumpstation

7. Add item **31.03, Payment clause 1.10.3, Overexcavtion, including backfilling with Granular sub-base** with a quantity of **5** cubic Meters, renumber all section 31 to suit.

8. Add item **33.10 , Payment clause 1.5.1.1, Manhole - base, lid, slab, cover and frame 1050mm diameter** with a quantity of **5** each.

9. Add item **33.11, Payment clause 1.5.1.1, Manhole - base, lid, slab, cover and frame 2130mm diameter** with a quantity of **1** each.

10. Add item **33.12, Payment clause 1.5.1.2, Manhole Riser 1050mm diameter** with a quantity of **6.3** vertical meters.

11. Add item **33.13, Payment clause 1.5.1.2, Manhole Riser 2130mm diameter** with a quantity of **1.1** vertical meters.

Site 2

12. Add item **3.01, Payment clause 1.4.3, Non-Mountable Curb and Gutter (MoTT SP582-01.01)** with a quantity of **18** Lineal Meters, renumber all section 3 to suit.

13. Adjust item 3.02, (Island Curb (MoTT SP582-01.04 including letdowns) from 75 to **112** lineal meters.

14. Adjust item 3.03, (Concrete Sidewalk (MoTT SP582-07.03) (100mm thick) – Broom Finish) from 180 to **171** square meters.

15. Add item **3.04, Payment clause 1.4.10S, Bus Landing TWSI Yellow, Cast in Place, Elongated Bars 1200mm x 1500mm** with a quantity of **1** Each.

16. Adjust item 3.05 (Lock Block Retaining Wall) from 103 to **77** square meters.

17. Add item **32.09, Payment clause 1.5.4, Handrail on Bus Stop** with a quantity of **18** lineal meters.

18. Add item **34.09, Payment clause 1.9.7S, Type 2 – 11m Single Davit Luminaire Pole and Base** with a quantity of **2** Each, renumber all section 34 to suit.

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS:

Q35: We understand it was mentioned in previous addendum there would not be any extensions to this tender closing. However, after speaking with a few of sub contractors today, many are behind in getting together their quotes off to the general contractors, and from what we hear there are still questions that are coming in a future addendum. With the requirements of the RFP entailing extensive information of sequencing and the project team, and the fact that we are unable to finalize our proposal until we have received pricing from all subcontractors, we are formally requesting an additional extension to this tender. Please let us know if this is feasible.

A35: As per Addendum #1, no further extensions are to be granted. The project teams goal is to have this evaluated and enter negotiations before the holiday shutdown.

Please note that the pricing is non-binding and can be qualified in proponents proposals within reason. Pricing accounts for 40% of the evaluation so as long as bids are balanced and qualifications addressed within the proposal they will be evaluated at face value.

Q36: Structural Drawing S2.0 specifies that the exact length of the steel pile is subject to approval by the Geotechnical Engineer (Minimum 25,000mm into bedrock). Since pile lengths are highly variable depending on field conditions, will the City provide a unit rate item for pile depth adjustments, or must this remain entirely fixed within the Concrete Lump Sum (Item 3.02)?

A36: The pile costs are to be included in the lump-sum payment item. For this submission, proponents shall assume each pile has a length of 24.5 m (below the existing surface elevation of 67.0 m).

Q37: Regarding the piling, the tender package says two different things. The structural drawings (S2.0/S3.0) show the 610 mm pipe piles drilled and rock socketed, with two socket details and a note to have the geotechnical engineer inspect the drilled hole. WSP's April 2026 pile memo says driven to refusal on till or bedrock at about 24.5 m, and concludes that rock sockets aren't needed for lateral resistance. It looks like the structural set was detailed off WSP's earlier memo and never caught up. Driven closed-end piles and drilled, cased, grouted sockets through 24 m of peat and clay are very different prices. Please request clarification

A37: The geotechnical report correctly outlines the requirements. The piles are to be driven to refusal. Rock Sockets are not expected to be required.

Q38: Structural Drawings S2.0 and S3.0 indicate rock-socketed piles, while WSP's revised geotechnical memorandum identifies driven piles to refusal as an acceptable alternative without rock sockets. The structural and geotechnical information also appears to contain differing lateral design requirements. Please confirm if the Contractor is permitted to select and price an alternative based on the available geotechnical information, or will the Owner specify the required design and installation method before tender closing?

A38: As above, piles are to be driven to refusal and rock sockets are not expected to be required. Please note that Contractors are encouraged to present alternate methods as part of their proposal.

Q39: The Tender Quantities indicate 75 lineal meters of MOTT SP582-01.04 Median Curb, but I have found 107 lineal meters in the three islands at the Delem intersection. I have also found 18 lineal meters of SP582-01.01 Non Mountable Curb at the bus stop, which is not included in the quantities. Please clarify

A39: The quantities were previously combined into one item. The quantities have been adjusted in this addendum to 112m Median Island Curb and 18m of non-mountable curb.

Q40: The Tender Quantities indicate 180 square meters of 100mm Concrete Sidewalk, but I have scaled 97 square meters in the three islands at the Delem intersection, and 51 square meters at the bus stop. Please clarify.

A40: There is 171sq.m of 100mm Concrete Sidewalk. This has been adjusted in this addendum.

Q41: Are cast in place tactile warning pads intended for the bus stop landing pad, and if so, how will they be paid for?

A41: A new item has been added to this addendum.

Q42: Confirm what bid item is to be used for the - 2 – Type 2 – 11m Single Davit Luminaire Poles.

A42: A new item has been added to this addendum.

Q43: Section 01 34 00 SS (1.2) states that the forcemain will be installed by others under a separate contract. However, the NRFP, SoQ and Drawings C201–C206 identify the 200 mm HDPE forcemain as part of the Contractor's scope. Please confirm whether the forcemain installation, testing and associated works are included in this Contract. If so, will Section 01 34 00 SS be amended to remove the conflicting reference to installation by others?

A43: Forcemain installation, testing and associated works are included in this Contract as per the SoQ. Section 01 34 00 SS will not be amended as clarification is provided by this addendum.

Q44: Drawing C1 references a WSP geotechnical report dated May 27, 2025, which does not appear to have been included in the tender documents or subsequent addenda. If available, please provide the referenced report, including associated borehole logs, groundwater monitoring information and appendices?

A44: The date shown on Drawing C1 is incorrect. All geotechnical information available has been provided with this procurement document.

Q45: Could you please clarify the intended application of the geotechnical reports and memoranda? Addendum No. 2, A27 indicates that these documents are provided for reference only and do not form part of the Contract Documents, while Section 01 45 00S, Clause 1.4.1 requires “The Contractor is to follow all requirements and recommendations set out in the Geotechnical Reports and Memorandums in the Appendices”.

Please confirm whether these recommendations are intended to be mandatory contractual requirements or provided for guidance only.

A45: The reports are for guidance only; however, information contained within the reports is considered available and will be referenced in situations where the contractor is claiming an unknown condition.

Q46: GC 28.1 requires the Contractor and subcontractors, where applicable, to provide wages at least equivalent to those paid by the Owner for work of a similar nature.

Please provide the applicable Owner wage classifications and rates for comparison, clarify whether the requirement applies to base wages only or includes benefits and other compensation, and confirm how the provision applies where no comparable Owner position exists.

A46: GC 28.1 is deleted by this addendum.

Q47: Section 01 45 00S requires the Contractor's Inspection and Test Plans (ITPs) to comply with the Owner's ITP proforma requirements; however, the referenced proforma does not appear to have been included in the tender documents or subsequent addenda.

Please provide the Owner's ITP proforma, including any mandatory inspection, testing, hold-point or witnessing requirements that must be incorporated into the Contractor's quality management program.

A47: Mandatory inspections are laid out in the general conditions but not listed specifically. Minimum required testing is laid out in Section 01 45 00S. Hold points and witnessing requirements are industry standard and experienced contractors should be able to provide a quality control plan based on the contract documents, specifically the Ministry of Transportation and Transit 2025 Standard Specifications for Highway Construction and City of Langford Schedule 11 to Bylaw 1000, available online.

Q48: Can you please clarify whether City of Langford Bylaw 1000 fees are applicable to any portion of this project and if so, specifically which part?

A48: Bylaw 1000 fees are not applicable to this project.

Q49: It is unclear if a bid bond/consent of surety is required as part of the FRP submission. Under item 3.1 - Consent of Surety, Proof of bonding and insurance are to be delivered prior to award, but it does not indicate if they are to be part of the submission. Please clarify if these documents are required with the submission.

A49: They are not required as part of the submission; contractors should confirm for themselves that they can meet the insurance and bonding requirements. While there is no financial penalty for failure to produce that documentation as part of the NRFP process, failure could impact future opportunities within the City of Langford and it's partners.

Q50: The tender docs do not seem to address soil testing and contaminated soil disposal. For bidding purposes, can we assume all soils fall under the RL- classification and perhaps add a provisional sum for soils testing? If the soils are found to be above RL- then a change order would be issued with a new unit rate for disposal?

A50: As everything is generally import over the last few years contaminated soil testing was not completed. We are not going to specify a classification because we don't know; however regular testing for standard disposal is part of the common excavation price. Anything that is above that would be considered an unknown condition and a change order would be issued.

Q51: Both Langford and MOTI typically have their long lines and center gore completed in traffic marking paint rather than thermoplastic. Given the age/condition of the asphalt on Highway 14 and typical requirements, is thermoplastic to be used for all long line and gore markings? The tender documents only allow for pricing Thermoplastic. Should there be a provision for painted markings?

A51: This requires a variance from MoTT and could be pursued by the successful proponent; however, for this procurement please price it as it is all Thermoplastic.

Q52: Addendum No. 1 lists the inquiry deadline as 2:00 p.m. PST on 10 November. Could you please confirm whether that means 2:00 p.m. Langford local time (UTC−7)?

A52: Yes 2:00pm local time.

Q53: Could you also point me to the designated channel for a written question about which party is responsible for supplying cable trays and supports, if they are required at the pump station?

A53: This is the responsibility of the general contractor so you will have to reach out to those proponents; there is no official list for this procurement.

Q54: Is there more than one lock block wall? The 103 M2 on the SoQ doesn't match the wall shown on the drawings. By block count it is +/- 77 M2.

A54: Quantity has been adjusted to 77sq.m in the schedule of quantities with this addendum.

Please note that the wall has not been formally submitted to MoTT and will be a shop drawing requirement for the contractor. The measurement and payment has been adjusted in this addendum.

Q55: Please confirm what spec of textured lock blocks is required

A55: City of Langford requires texture on block walls; texture can be determined by the Contractor. Also, please note the response in A53 – contractors can propose any type of wall in their shop drawings to be submitted to MoTT.

Q56: Does the transit shelter require relocation?

A56: Yes, it will be negotiated with the successful contractor. There is no payment item in this procurement.